







UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/845,154	05/01/2001	Peter Boekstegers	07883.0033	2668
22852	7590 01/23/2003			
FINNEGAN,	HENDERSON, FAR	EXAMINER		
DUNNER LLP 1300 I STREET, NW WASHINGTON, DC 20006			CHATTOPADHYAY, URMI	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
		•	3738	
			DATE MAILED: 01/23/2003	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

PTO-90C (Rev. 07-01)

•		m _				
	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	09/845,154	BOEKSTEGERS, PETER				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Urmi Chattopadhyay	3738				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL' THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a replication of the provided of the period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period of Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30 will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS to cause the application to become ABAND	be timely filed)) days will be considered timely. from the mailing date of this communication. ONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>01 l</u>	May 2001 .					
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ Th	is action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) 1-88 is/are pending in the application) .					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.	7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) 1-88 are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.						
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.						
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
a) All b) Some * c) None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority document	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No					
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 						
14)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).						
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.						
Attachment(s)						
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 	5) Notice of Info	nmary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) rmal Patent Application (PTO-152)				
I.S. Delant and Tendemod. Office						

Application/Control Number: 09/845,154

Art Unit: 3738

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- I. Claims 1-65, drawn to a method of providing direct blood flow between a heart chamber and a coronary vessel, classified in class 128, subclass 898.
- II. Claims 66-71, drawn to a measuring device, classified in class 604, subclass 272.
- III. Claims 72-86, drawn to a device for placing a stent in a heart wall, classified in class 606, subclass 108.
- IV. Claims 87-88, drawn to a device for placement in a passageway between a heart chamber and a coronary vessel, classified in class 623, subclass 1.15.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

Inventions Group II and Group I are related as product and process of use. The inventions can be shown to be distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) the process for using the product as claimed can be practiced with another materially different product or (2) the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using that product (MPEP § 806.05(h)). In the instant case the process for using the product as claimed can be practiced with another materially different product. The method of Group I does not require the hollow needle to have a portion in flow communication with the lumen of the hollow needle so that blood from the heart chamber entering the lumen can be observed.

Inventions Group III and Group I are related as product and process of use. The inventions can be shown to be distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) the

Application/Control Number: 09/845,154 Page 3

Art Unit: 3738

process for using the product as claimed can be practiced with another materially different product or (2) the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using that product (MPEP § 806.05(h)). In the instant case the process for using the product as claimed can be practiced with another materially different product. The method of Group I does not require the stop mechanism be proximate at least one end of the stent, which means it can be proximate the distal end without being proximate the proximal end of the stent.

Inventions Group IV and Group I are related as product and process of use. The inventions can be shown to be distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) the process for using the product as claimed can be practiced with another materially different product or (2) the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using that product (MPEP § 806.05(h)). In the instant case the process for using the product as claimed can be practiced with another materially different product. The method of Group I does not require the stent to have a plurality of extensions protruding from an end thereof.

Inventions Group II and Group III and Group IV are unrelated. Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different modes of operation, different functions, or different effects (MPEP § 806.04, MPEP § 808.01). In the instant case the different inventions are not disclosed as capable of use together because the measuring device of Group II is removed from the heart prior to the use of the device for placing a stent of Group III and the device for placement in a passageway of Group IV. The inventions have different functions wherein the device of Group II measures a depth of penetration from an anterior wall of a coronary vessel to a heart chamber, the device of Group III

Application/Control Number: 09/845,154

Art Unit: 3738

places a stent in a heart wall and the device of Group IV is placed in a passageway between a heart chamber and a coronary vessel.

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

If applicant elects Group I, this application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species and subspecies of the claimed invention:

A. Passageway Formation

- 1) sheath
- 2) balloon of single-balloon catheter
 - 3) balloon of double-balloon catheter

B. Stent Delivery

- 1) balloon of single-balloon catheter
 - 2) balloon of double-balloon catheter

If applicant elects Group III, this application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species and subspecies of the claimed invention:

C. Delivery Tool

- 1) Figure 11
- 2) Figure 12
- 3) Figure 13

Application/Control Number: 09/845,154

Art Unit: 3738

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species and subspecies (ex. Group I, A.3, B.2 or Group II or Group III, C.1) for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, no claim is generic.

Page 5

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a **listing of all claims readable**thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

Art Unit: 3738

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Ms. Urmi Chattopadhyay whose telephone number is (703) 308-8510 and whose work schedule is Monday-Friday, 9:00am – 6:30pm with every other Friday off. The examiner's supervisor, Corrine McDermott, may be reached at (703) 308-2111. The group receptionist may be reached at (703) 308-0858.

Should the applicant wish to send a fax for official entry into the file wrapper the Group fax number is (703) 305-3590. Should applicant wish to send a fax for discussion purposes only, the art unit fax number is (703) 308-2708.

Urmi Chattopadhyay

Art Unit 3738

uc

January 15, 2003